THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

National Intelligence Council

4 February 1985

NOTE FOR: George A. Keyworth

Herbert E. Meyer FROM:

Vice Chairman, National Intelligence

Council

This from the DCM in London, who got the job after a tour as Executive Assistant to the Secretary of State. Note especially paragraphs 7 and 8.

Herbert E. Meyer

Attachment: Cable (LONDON 02273)

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/03/08: CIA-RDP87-00462R000100110021-1

SECRET

VC/NIC INCOMING

FRP: , ,3, , , ,

STATE

25X1

85 6332755 SSR

/ /

PAGE 001

NC 6332755

TOR: 010738Z FEB 85

RR RUEALIB ZNY SSSSS ZOC STATE ZZH STU3758 RR RUEHC RUEHDD DE RUEHLD #2273/01 0301707 ZNY SSSSS R 301705Z JAN 85 FM AMEMBASSY LONDON TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC 7084 INFO RUEHMO/AMEMBASSY MOSCOW 8804 RUEHDD/NATO COLLECTIVE RT SECRET LONDON 02273

EXDIS

E.O. 12356: DECL: DADR TAGS: PARM, UK, NATO

SUBJECT: CONSENSUS BUILDING ON SDI

REFS: A) MOSCOW 1055, B) ROME 2085, C) TOKYO

1991, D) PARIS 3249

- 1. SECRET ENTIRE TEXT.
- 2. SUMMARY: ALLIED AGREEMENT ON A FEW BRIEF AND PERSUASIVE POLICY POINTS COULD PRESERVE OUR FLEXIBILITY ON SDI, HELP OUR FRIENDS GUARD THEIR POLITICAL FLANKS, AND FRUSTRATE SOVIET MISCHIEF-MAKING. AN APPROACH BASED ON THE PRINCIPLES DISCUSSED BY THATCHER AND THE PRESIDENT AT CAMP DAVID SEEM TO US A SOLID BASIS FOR THIS KIND OF ATLANTIC CONSENSUS. END SUMMARY.

THE SDI DEBATE IS MORE BALANCED BUT STILL NEGATIVE

- 3. SEEN FROM HERE, THE DEBATE ON SDI IN EUROPE IS STILL LARGELY NEGATIVE -- BUT BETTER THAN IT WAS. CRITICS NO LONGER HAVE THE FIELD TO THEMSELVES, MANY BELIEVE THAT SDI BROUGHT THE SOVIETS BACK TO THE TABLE, AND SOME EVEN ACKNOWLEDGE THE VIRTUES OF A MISSILE DEFENSE -- AS LONG AS IT LEAVES DETERRENCE INTACT.
- 4. IN WHITEHALL, TOO, WE FIND MORE WILLINGNESS TO ADMIT THAT THE PRESIDENT'S INTIATIVE HAS BROUGHT TACTICAL GAINS. THE BREADTH AND EXTENT OF OUR CONSULTATIONS (E.G. MACFARLANE'S VISIT HERE) HAVE HELPED ENORMOUSLY

BUT DOUBTS ARE BASIC: WOULD SDI UNDERMINE **DETERRENCE?**

SECRET

SECRET

85 6332755 SSF

PAGE 002

NC 6332755

TOR: 010738Z FEB 85

5. THATCHER, FOR EXAMPLE, SUPPORTS SDI
RESEARCH AND IS KEENLY AWARE OF SOVIET PROGRAMS.
BUT CONSULTATION HAS NOT CHANGED HER VIEW THAT
FULL-SCALE DEVELOPMENT WOULD BRING NO LASTING
BENEFITS AND COULD SPELL TROUBLE FOR EUROPE -LEAVING EUROPEANS MORE RATHER THAN LESS VULNERABLE
TO THE SOVIETS. WHEN THATCHER SAYS (AS SHE OFTEN
DOES) THAT NUCLEAR WEAPONS HAVE KEPT THE PEACE,
SHE EXPRESSES A VIEW WIDELY SHARED ACROSS THE
POLITICAL SPECTRUM -- EVEN, CLANDESTINELY,
WITHIN THE BRITISH LABOR PARTY.

THE POTENTIAL FOR SPLITS -- AND NEED FOR COMMON GROUND

- 6. OUR PROBLEM IS THAT THIS VIEW OF THE NEED FOR NUCLEAR DETERRENCE -- DEEPLY INGRAINED IN EUROPE -- IS AT ODDS WITH SOME OF THE BROADER DEFINITIONS OF WHAT SDI IS AND WHAT IT WILL DO. THE SOVIETS DOUBTLESS UNDERSTAND THIS. SO WE TAKE SERIOUSLY THE POTENTIAL DAMAGE OF A MAJOR SOVIET CAMPAIGN TO DISCREDIT SDI AND CAST IT AS A BAR TO ARMS CONTROL (REF A). WE ALREADY SEE EVIDENCE OF THIS NEW "STRUGGLE FOR PEACE" CREEPING INTO THE BRITISH MEDIA.
- 7. ACCORDINGLY, THE TASK IS TO FIND A PUBLIC FORMULA WHICH 1) PROTECTS OUR FLEXIBILTY TO MOVE FORWARD ON SDI, 2) ANSWERS THE SUBSTANTIVE CONCERNS IN EUROPE, AND 3) GIVES OUR FRIENDS AMMUNITION TO DEFEND SDI PUBLICLY AGAINST A SOVIET CAMPAIGN TO UNDERMINE IT.

THE CAMP DAVID POINTS: A FRAMEWORK FOR CONSENSUS

- 8. THE DECEMBER 22 CAMP DAVID POINTS SEEM TO US TO PROVIDE THAT SORT OF FORMULA. AS UNDERSTOOD BY THE BRITISH, THEY SET THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS FOR SDI.
- -- THE AIM IS BALANCE, NOT SUPERIORITY
- --SDI-RELATED DEPLOYMENT WOULD, IN VIEW OF TREATY OBLIGATIONS, BE A MATTER FOR NEGOTIATION
- -- THE GOAL IS TO ENHANCE DETERRENCE

EXDIS

E.O.12356: DECL: OADR TAGS: PARM, UK, NATO

SUBJECT: CONSENSUS BUILDING ON SDI

- --NEGOTIATIONS SHOULD ENHANCE SECURITY AND REDUCE LEVELS OF OFFENSIVE SYSTEMS ON BOTH SIDES.
- 9. FROM A EUROPEAN PERSPECTIVE THE POINTS TAKEN TOGETHER ARE A STATEMENT OF POLITICAL NECESSITY: NO EUROPEAN LEADER COULD AFFORD TO SUPPORT A POLICY WHICH AIMED AT SUPERIORITY, ESCHEWED NEGOTIATION, OR UNDERCUT DETERRENCE. ARGUABLY, INDEED, THE FOUR POINTS REFLECT A MINIMUM BASIS FOR ATLANTIC CONSENSUS ON SDI.
- 10. WE HAVE A BREATHING SPACE NOW TO PUT CONSENSUS FIRMLY IN PLACE. OUR FRIENDS AND ALLIES ARE STILL



Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/03/08 : CIA-RDP87-00462R000100110021-1

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/03/08: CIA-RDP87-00462R000100110021-1

SECRET

85 6332755 SSR

PAGE 003

TOR: 010738Z FEB 85

NC 6332755

ABLE TO FINESSE THE SDI ISSUE, IN PART BECAUSE PARLIAMENTS AND PUBLICS ARE NOT YET FULLY ENGAGED, IN PART BECAUSE OF AN ASSUMPTION OF U.S. WILLINGNESS TO RESPECT THE ABM TREATY AND TO NEGOTIATE BEFORE DEPLOYMENT (VIZ. DUMAS' CONVERSATION WITH DANOVI REF B).

- 11. BUT THAT HAPPY CIRCUMSTANCE COULD END SWIFTLY ONCE THE SOVIET COUNTER-CAMPAIGN IS IN FULL SWING -- ESPECIALLY IF OUR COMMITMENT TO NEGOTATION COMES TO BE DOUBTED. THEN, THE IMPLICIT DIFFERENCES IN ATLANTIC PERCEPTIONS ON SDI COULD COME TO HAUNT US -- UNLESS WE HAVE PREPARED OUR DEFENSE IN ADVANCE.
- 12. ACCORDINGLY, WE RECOMMEND THAT OUR PUBLIC CAMPAIGN IN EUROPE PICK UP ON THE APPROACH TO SDI SKETCHED OUT BY THATCHER AND THE PRESIDENT. THE SUMMIT, NAC AND DPC COMMUNIQUES GIVE US A CHANCE TO MAKE SUCH AN APPROACH CLEAR TO EUROPEAN PUBLICS -- EMPHASIZING THE ASPECTS OF SDI ON WHICH WE AND OUR ALLIES AGREE, AND GIVING ALLIED LEADERS A REDOUBT FROM WHICH TO DEFEND THEMSELVES AGAINST THE INEVITABLE ANTI-SDI AGITATION TO COME. SEITZ END OF MESSAGE

SECRET